

ISSN 1349-1113 JAXA-RR-07-032E

JAXA Research and Development Report

"The Stacking Method" : The Technique to Detect Small Size of GEO Debris and Asteroids

Toshifumi YANAGISAWA, Hirohisa KUROSAKI and Atsushi NAKAJIMA

February 2008

Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency

This document is provided by JAXA.

"The Stacking Method": The Technique to Detect Small Size of GEO Debris and Asteroids^{*}

Toshifumi YANAGISAWA^{*1}, Hirohisa KUROSAKI^{*1} and Atsushi NAKAJIMA^{*1}

重ね合わせ法:微小静止デブリ及び小惑星の検出法*

柳沢 俊史*1, 黒崎 裕久*1, 中島 厚*1

Abstract

We established a new method that we call "the stacking method" to detect faint objects on CCD images such as unknown small size of GEO debris and undiscovered asteroids which include earth-crossing ones. A middle size of telescope and a normal CCD camera are enough to realize a fine result of the method. Many CCD images are used to detect faint objects. With this method, background stars are completely disappeared and the sky background fluctuation decreases extremely. This means faint objects, which are not visible on a single image, are detectable.

We evaluated this method using actual observation images taken by a 35cm telescope of Mt.Nyukasa Astronomical Observatory and a 2K2K back-illuminated CCD camera. We created two algorithms for the evaluation. One is for detection of GEO debris and the other is for that of asteroid. Both algorithms worked well and proved the effectiveness of this method. This method will contribute significantly to the safety of active satellites from collision of space debris, to search for near-Earth objects and to solar-system astronomy.

1. Introduction

Since Sputnik, the world's first successful satellite, was launched in 1957, humans have launched many satellites; thus the number of artificial objects in orbit has been increasing. Explosions and collisions of these objects create large volumes of space debris. For the safety of people and satellites, this debris needs to be detected and cataloged. Systems for observing space debris have been established in the United States and Russia[1]. Some four million pieces of space debris larger than 1mm are believed to exist, but less than 10 thousand pieces of them have been cataloged[2].

In the geostationary orbit, a minimum size for detection of about 50cm is a serious problem. Breakups near the orbit were reported in 1978, 1992, and 1994[3], and this debris spreads out to every longitude of the geostationary orbit, increasing the danger of a second collision. Strengthening the systems for observing GEO debris smaller than 50cm is critical to prevent the occurrence of these types of phenomena.

On the other hand, many groups are trying to observe near-Earth objects (NEOs) with the potential to collide with the Earth[4][5]. Observations of small asteroids in the main-belt or far ones, like Edgeworth-Kuiper belt objects, also help us to investigate the origins of the solar system [6][7]. CCD cameras are the most important tool for these purposes. Recently, the size of CCD chips has expanded to $2k \times 4k$ pixels, and a number of such CCD chips can be installed in a single CCD camera[8]. Scientists must analyze enormous volumes of data to get an outcome. Automatic detection is a desirable way to analyze data rapidly and accurately. Our group started test observations of GEO debris and asteroid in 1999. A 35cm telescope and a 2K2K back-illuminated CCD camera were set up at Mt.Nyukasa Observatory in Nagano Prefecture, Japan in 2002. We are developing algorithms to detect unknown GEO debris and undiscovered asteroids by using the actual observed data [9].

In this paper, we describe a new technique that we have named the stacking method for detecting small pieces of GEO debris. A large number of CCD images are cut out to match a target movement and a median image is created from these sub-images. This process removes the effects of fixed stars and enables to detect very dark objects not visible on a single CCD image. We used this technique to analyze actual CCD images and confirmed its effectiveness. In the first half of this paper, we describe the algorithm that is developed for detection of small pieces of GEO debris, its test observation and the result. In the second half, we describe the case of undiscovered asteroids.

^{*} Received 3 December, 2007

^{*1} Advanced Space Technology Research Group, Institute of Aerospace Technology



Observation time

Figure 1: Process of the stacking method

2. The stacking method for GEO debris

2.1 General idea

GEO debris moves among fixed stars in the sky. In this paper, GEO debris does not mean debris that is exactly on the geostationary orbit but is around it. This means the GEO debris moves in the sky because of its inclination, semi-major





(b)

Figure 2: An example of debris detected using the stacking method. (a) shows a part of one CCD image and (b) the same region of the final image of the stacking method. Fifteen images are used.

axis and/or eccentricity. Usual observations of GEO debris require a short exposure frame (a few seconds) without an equatorial movement of a telescope. Point sources as GEO debris in the frame are searched. Fixed stars create streaks on the frame. A long exposure time is needed to detect dark GEO debris, but as the exposure time becomes longer, the streaks of fixed stars extend beyond the frame and new fixed stars enter it. These obscure the weak light from small GEO debris. As a result, the exposure time is limited to a few seconds. This process does not detect dark GEO debris below the one frame limiting magnitude.

Taking a median value of many frames with a short exposure solves the problem. The median is the central value of multiple values. For example, 3 is the median among 1, 2, 3, 4, and 100. If the number of values is even, the median value becomes the average of the two middle values. As described in the above example, taking a median eliminates any effects from an unexpectedly high signal (in this paper, streaks of stars). On the other hand, the average of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 100 is 22, i.e., it is affected by the high value, 100.

As shown in Figure 1, the stacking method cuts out sub-images from many CCD images to fit GEO debris movement. A median image of all the sub-images is then created. In this method, photons from objects are accumulated on the same pixels of sub-images and streaks of fixed stars are completely removed by taking the median because they are moving on the sub-images. Figure 2 shows an example of debris detected using the stacking method. Figure 2 (a) shows a part of one CCD image and Figure 2 (b) the same region of the final image after the process has been carried out. Fifteen images are used. It is difficult to confirm the presence of the debris in Figure 2 (a), whereas the debris is bright and no streak of fixed star exists in Figure 2 (b).

The background noise was reduced as in Equation (1).

$$\sigma_{median} = \frac{1.2}{\sqrt{N}} \sigma_{individual} \tag{1}$$



Figure 3: The background noise levels for the number of median images.



Figure 4: The telescope (35cm Newtonian telescope ε 350N manufactured by Takahashi Co. Ltd.) and the camera (2K×2K back-illuminated CCD camera FCC-104B manufactured by N.I.L. Co. Ltd.) used for the observation.

Here N is the number of sub-images used to make up a median image. This means darker objects are detectable as more images are used. The factor 1.2 is calculated from Monte Carlo simulations [10]. If the average is used instead of the median, the factor is 1.0. The average is slightly more powerful than the median in respect of the detection of unresolved asteroids. However, the median has the advantage of eliminating extremely high noises, such as cosmic rays and hot pixels that remain in an average image. Figure 3 shows the background noise levels for the number of median images. The horizontal axis shows the number of stacked images, and the vertical axis the standard deviation of the sky background in analog-to-digital units (ADU). The dashed line represents Equation (1). The noise level is reduced as many images are used. Figure 2 and 3 show that the stacking method is able to detect very dark GEO debris that is invisible on a single CCD image. In order to detect invisible GEO debris on one image, various movements of the GEO debris are assumed and many processes as shown in Figure 1 are needed.

2.2 Test Observation

We tried a test observation of the stacking method in order to evaluate its effectiveness for detection of GEO debris. Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA) possesses an optical observatory site at Mt. Nyukasa, Nagano Prefecture, for research on GEO debris and asteroid observation technologies and data analysis processes [11]. The site is at $138^{\circ} 10' 30'' \text{ E}, 35^{\circ} 54' 00'' \text{ N}, 1810\text{m}$ altitude. There are a 35-cm telescope and a $2K \times 2K$ CCD camera at the site. The telescope is an ε 350N manufactured by Takahashi Co. Ltd. Its focal length is 1248mm. It is set on a fork-type equatorial mount 25 EF manufactured by SHOWA. The CCD camera is a FCC-104B, manufactured by Nakanishi Image Laboratory Inc., using a back-illuminated chip, the EEV's 4240. Readout time of the CCD camera is about 10 seconds. The total sky coverage of the image area of the system is around $1.27^\circ~\times 1.27^\circ$, and its pixel scale is 2.2'' . The telescope and the camera are shown in Figure 4. The observation was carried out on February 6, 2003. We observed one region on the geostationary orbit without an equatorial movement of the telescope. The coordinates of the region was Az = 174.9, El = 48.3. 150 images were taken with a 10-second exposure time. The readout time of the CCD camera is about 10 seconds. 3 dark images were taken before the observation. We also took a 1-second exposure image in order to determine the accurate observed position. In this image, streaks of fixed stars are so short that we can identify

them with normal star shapes and compare their pixel coordinates to the guide star catalog. The weather condition was very good and the observation was not bothered by any significant clouds. At twilight time, we got flat field images by taking the twilight sky images.

2.3 Analysis and Results

The data is stored in the local hard disk and later processed offline. The software IRAF (Image Reduction and Analysis Facility)[12] was used for the image processing concerning the stacking method. The IRAF can be carried out with the script mode. We developed an automatic analysis process of the stacking method using the IRAF, a script language perl and C language.

Figure 1 shows the simplified case of the stacking method for better understanding. Many processes should be carried out especially for the detection of dark objects below the background noise levels in practice. First, all the images were dark-image subtracted for reducing the electric noises and flat-fielded for adjusting the sensitivity differences between each pixel. The subtracted dark image is the median image of 3 dark images taken at the beginning of the observation. The cosmic-ray free dark image is constructed by taking median of 3 dark images.

Second, the sky level of each image is adjusted. The atmospheric condition usually changes the brightness of the sky. In order to detect very dark objects around the sky background level, the sky level of each image must be adjusted accurately. Furthermore, our CCD camera reads out its data from two outputs for the fast readout. This makes some difference of the sky background level between the left and the right side of the image as show in Figure 5 (a). We investigate two parts of the sky level(the left and the right side) of all the images using the median values of 200×200 pixels of each region and adjusted the sky level. Figure 5 (b) shows one image whose sky level is adjusted. The sky level difference between the left and the right side region is eliminated.

Although we use median images in order to eliminate the streaks of fixed stars, the influences of the streaks of bright stars remain as shown in Figure 5 (c). We ignored high value regions using an appropriate threshold value. Figure 5 (d) shows one image after ignoring high values. The value of the shy level is applied at the ignored regions. Figure 5 (e) represents the median image made from the high-value ignored images. The influences of the streaks of bright stars are totally eliminated.

We apply dark frame subtractions and flat-fieldings toward all the images. However, in some cases, these processes do not work well. Figure 5 (f) is the median image of all the observed images. Intervention patterns that are usual for back-illuminated CCD chips are appeared and there are dark parts at the four corners. Those are caused by the insufficient flat-fielding. It is very difficult to construct a perfect flat-field frame. We subtracted the image of Figure 5 (f) from all the observed images in order to eliminate these effects.

After applying all the processes as mentioned above to all the observed images, the stacking method is carried out. In order to detect invisible GEO debris on one image, various movements of the GEO debris are assumed and many processes as shown in Figure 1 are needed. Various types of space debris are crossing the observing field. If we try to detect all of the debris, a vast number of processes are needed and that is not realistic for the present machine calculating ability. We concentrate to detect GEO debris that has a proper inclination. The movements of such debris are almost vertical. We only searched objects moving almost vertical directions in the field. When the GEO debris has a high inclination, it moves fast and a short number of images are required to detect it. We divided all of the 150 images by a few sets in response to various speeds of GEO debris. We prepared 6 sets of 25 images, 3 sets of 50 images and 2 sets of 75 images. In order to confirm that the candidate is real GEO debris, we set a criterion that two detections in two serial sets are needed. We changed the shift values of targets by 3 pixels to detect dark GEO debris. The shift values means the movement of the GEO debris from the first image of the set to the last one. We carried out about 8000 processes for one set. The detection threshold of the GEO debris is 4 times of the background noise of the median image of the set. If one candidate is detected, the real shift value is searched around the detected shift value until the peak value of the candidate is the maximum. This process does not take time because small images around the candidate are used. We used two PC workstations DELL Prescision 340 for the analysis. This machine has one Pentium 4 CPU and 4 GB memories. OS is Red Hat linux ver.8. The time for analyzing one set for one PC is about 16 hours. It takes about 4 days to analyze all the sets of images. After all the candidates are picked up in all the sets, the pairs whose movements are coincident are searched. We detected 10 candidates. Table 1 shows the details of the candidates. We can get the 4 positions of the candidates and the times when the candidates are at the positions. 4 positions mean the positions at the first and the last image of the first

We also took a 1-second exposure image in order to determine the accurate candidate positions. In this image, streaks of fixed stars are so short that we can identify them with normal star shapes and compare their pixel coordinates to the guide star catalog. The IRAF 'daofind' command is able to find out point-like shapes in the image and their pixel coordinates. From the rough values of observed Az, El and observed time of the image, we can calculate the rough celestial coordinates of the central position of the image. Therefore, we can pick up the celestial coordinates of stars around the observed region from the guide star catalog.



Figure 5: Images produced during the processes of the stacking method.

No.	Time(UT)	X		Y		Az	El E	Brightness(ADU)	magnitude
size	(cm)								
1	18:43:50	679	34		174.760	47.709	276.98	8 15.7	363
-	18:52:14	768	499		174.509	48.275	276.98	8 15.7	363
	18:52:36	770	513		174.503	48.292	287.90	5 15.7	363
	19:01:02	858	969		174.250	48.847	287.90	6 15.7	363
2	18:19:39	95	1020		174.300	47.640	9.33	3 19.4	66
	18:28:04	93	723		174.239	48.009	9.3	3 19.4	66
	18:28:25	88	723		174.230	48.009	9.18	3 19.4	66
	18:36:50	106	441		174.209	48.361	9.18	8 19.4	66
3	18:28:25	902	27		175.632	48.969	9.6	3 19.4	66
	18:36:50	914	309		175.701	48.619	9.63	3 19.4	66
	18:37:11	918	293		175.707	48.634	10.64	4 19.3	69
	18:45:36	924	561		175.759	48.307	10.64	4 19.3	69
4	18:28:25	809	41		175.458	48.942	9.2	1 19.4	66
	18:36:50	809	311		175.503	48.606	9.2	1 19.4	66
	18:37:11	796	316		175.479	48.598	10.02	2 19.3	69
	18:45:36	798	593		175.529	48.254	10.02	2 19.3	69
5	18:28:25	746	58		175.342	48.914	9.10	5 19.4	66
	18:36:50	752	328		175.400	48.579	9.10	5 19.4	66
	18:37:11	748	326		175.391	48.580	10.90	5 19.2	72
	18:45:36	769	598		175.476	48.245	10.90	5 19.2	72
6	18:45:57	105	400		174.198	48.411	10.13	3 19.3	69
	18:54:21	114	688		174.272	48.055	10.13	3 19.3	69
	18:54:37	105	695		174.257	48.045	10.6	7 19.3	69
	19:03:08	121	1003		174.346	47.665	10.6	7 19.3	69
7	18:45:57	737	345		175.373	48.555	9.0′	7 19.5	63
	18:54:21	755	684		175.464	48.136	9.0′	7 19.5	63
	18:54:37	753	698		175.463	48.119	11.05	5 19.2	72
	19:03:08	754	1022		175.518	47.716	11.05	5 19.2	72
8	18:37:11	903	338		175.684	48.583	7.00) 19.7	57
	18:54:21	930	683		175.789	48.156	7.00	0 19.7	57
	18:54:42	937	671		175.801	48.172	7.69	9 19.6	60
	19:11:53	948	998		175.873	47.767	7.69	9 19.6	60
9	18:19:39	81	414		174.157	48.391	6.40	5 19.8	55
	18:45:36	111	402		174.211	48.410	6.46	5 19.8	55
	18:45:57	109	396		174.205	48.417	6.39	9 19.8	55
	19:11:53	118	372		174.218	48.448	6.39	9 19.8	55
10	18:19:39	502	878		175.026	47.867	9.00	6 19.4	66
	18:28:04	481	452		174.912	48.394	9.00	6 19.4	66
	18:28:25	484	443		174.916	48.405	9.2	7 19.4	66
	18:36:50	484	29		174.842	48.919	9.2	7 19.4	66

Table 1: The details of the detected candidates.

The IRAF command 'ccxymatch' matches the pixel coordinates and the celestial coordinates of corresponding stars using the triangles algorithm and calculate the focal plane distortion cause by the optics of the telescope. The IRAF command 'cctran' is able to translate the pixel coordinates of the candidates to the celestial coordinates using the plate solution that 'ccxymatch' calculated. We then calculate Az and El values of them from the celestial coordinates. At that time we consider the precession and the nutation effects of the celestial coordinate system. We also consider the atmospheric effect that increases El values. We will be able to determine the orbit of detected GEO debris using these values.

The V band magnitudes of detected candidates are estimated using the V band magnitudes of background stars. The sizes of them are also calculated using the albedo value 0.1. We are able to detect about 50cm GEO debris by means of observations using the 35cm telescope and the CCD camera with the stacking method.

2.4 Discussion

As we showed in previous sections, the stacking method is powerful tool to detect very small GEO debris that is invisible on a single CCD image. If a larger telescope is used for the observation, smaller debris is detectable. A 1m telescope is able to detect about 30cm GEO debris (21 magnitude) using the stacking method. The Japan Space Forum has established the observatory, Bisei Spaceguard Center (BSGC) that is used solely for the observation of space debris and NEOs (near earth objects: asteroids and comets)[13][14]. It comprises one 1m telescope and one 0.5m telescope. Wide-field CCD cameras consisting of back-illuminated chips are installed on both the telescopes. We would like to apply the method to the observation system of the BSGC in the future.

Although the stacking method is able to detect very dark GEO debris, it takes much time to analyze. For the practical use of the method, we need to reduce the analyzing time. The progress in the processing performance may help to reduce the time required.

We need to manage a number of detected GEO debris in the future. The accurate orbital determinations of all the GEO debris are required for that. We should detect GEO debris twice with an interval of a few hours or one day in order to determine the orbit of it. Furthermore, we have to observe many regions of the sky for many detections of unknown GEO debris. We need to consider the advantage and the disadvantage of the stacking method, required accuracy for the orbital determination, characteristics of the observation system (for example, readout time, field of view of the CCD camera and pointing accuracy of the telescope.) and so on. Then the best solution of the observation (number of field, images, exposure time, intervals and so on) must be found out for the practical. Umehara et al. found out a very efficient procedure that takes following things into considerations[15]. (A) A broad observation is realized by scanning GEO. (B) In order to carry out a systematic observation, surveyed range should be sorted according to orbital elements. (C) An efficient observation is considered to reduce operational costs. This type of observation and the management of GEO debris in the future.

3. The stacking method for asteroid



Figure 6: An asteroid detected using the method.

3.1 General idea

Asteroids and comets move against the field of stars in the sky. For example, main-belt asteroids move approximately 15' in one day and Edgeworth--Kuiper belt objects approximately 50". The usual observation of an asteroid



Figure 7: Procedure of the method

requires a few frames in the same region of the celestial sphere at a proper time interval with the equatorial movement of the telescope. These frames are then compared to find moving objects against the star field. The exposure time is limited to about 5 mins because of asteroid movement. A visual inspection using a brink comparator or some programs of moving target indicator algorithm are used for the analysis. These processes do not detect objects darker than the limiting magnitude of one frame. On the other hand, more sophisticated matched filter algorithms that can achieve better than the limiting magnitude are being developed by various groups[16][17].

The basic process of the method is the same as that for GEO debris described in section 2.1. Multiple CCD images are used to detect faint asteroids below the limiting magnitude of a single CCD image. Figure 6 shows an example of an asteroid detected using the method. Figure 6 (a) shows a part of one CCD image and figure 6 (b) the same region of the final image after the process was carried out. Forty images were used. It is impossible to confirm the presence of the asteroid in figure 6(a), whereas the asteroid is bright and no field star can be seen in figure 6(b). The differences of feature of background stars are seen between figure2 (a) and 6 (a). This is because of the difference of the observation mode of the telescope. Relative motions of asteroids to background

stars are very slow. In such a case, observations are carried out in star-tracking mode. On the other hand, the relative motion of GEO debris is fast which requires the telescope to operate in stop mode. The method is not a simple shift-and-co-add one. It is impossible for the simple method to eliminate the effects of field stars, as shown in figure 6. Several processes are included in the method, as explained below. All of the processes are constructed with Perl scripts and IRAF.

3.2 Detail of the method

3.2.1 The First Process

Figure 7 shows the entire procedure of the algorithm. Before the main process, an initial pre-processing is carried out to make clean input images for the main process. Multiple images of one sky region are taken with the observation equipment. First, all of the images are dark-frame subtracted and flat-fielded.

We then correct the mechanically induced position differences of each frame, using the pixel coordinates of one field star near the central region of the observed field. In practice, we set the first pixel coordinates and a search radius. The algorithm searches for the brightest pixel within the circle. In the next image, the initial coordinates are changed to the coordinates of the brightest pixel found in the previous



Figure 8: Mask pattern correction. (a) Part of one raw image, with one asteroid visible in the center. (b) Same part of a median image of all raw images; the asteroid has disappeared. (c) Equals (a) minus (b). Most parts of most field stars are removed. Central parts of bright stars remain because of PSF difference in each of the images and sub-pixel position mismatching of the images. (d) A mask-pattern created from (b) applying the proper threshold value. (e) Result of the mask pattern application. The influences of field stars are completely removed and only the asteroid remains.

image. These processes are continued through to the last image. Using coordinates based on the brightest pixel of each image, the algorithm crops the common regions from all of the images. In this correction, we use only one star, which means that rotation of the observed field during the observation is not corrected in order to simplify the method.

The sky levels of each image may differ because of variations in the atmospheric conditions. The algorithm corrects any differences. We specify one small region (e.g., 50×50 pixels) around the center where there is no field star. The algorithm investigates the median values and the standard deviations of this region in all of the images. The average of the median values is calculated, and constant values are added to or subtracted from all of the images so as to adjust the sky level of this region to the average value. The

sky level differences of each image are almost completely corrected by this process.

As mentioned in subsection 3.1, the method is not a simple shift-and-co-add method. Even if a median image of all the sub-images is created, the influences of field stars must remain, because the motion of the target relative to field stars is small. Therefore, the algorithm removes field stars in advance. This process is somewhat complicated. First of all, the median image of all the images is created. This is not a median filter that is normally used in image processing. A median filter is applied to one frame to eliminate noises (especially spiky ones) by taking median values of some local pixels. In our algorithm, one pixel value of a median image is a median value of all raw images' same position values. Therefore, one median image is created from all raw images. Figures 8(a) and 8(b) show a part of one raw image and the median image, respectively. In the median image, the signal-to-noise ratio is improved as described in equation (1), making some faint stars not visible on the raw image visible. Moving objects disappear in the median image because their positions are different in each image. There is one asteroid at the center of figure 8(a) that is completely removed in figure 8(b). Therefore, taking a median of all the images makes moving-object-free and very low-noise image. By subtracting the median image from all the images, it is possible to remove field stars. If there are some sky-level inclinations caused by the poor flat-fielding and/or Moon, those are also removed by this process. However, influences from the central regions of bright stars remain because of PSF (point spread function) differences in each of the images and position mismatching between each of the images of less than one pixel. Figure 8(c) shows figure 8(a) minus figure 8(b). The asteroid remains in figure 8(c), but influences from the central regions of bright stars also remain. In order to remove such influences, the algorithm prepares a mask pattern that ignores the influenced

regions. The mask pattern is made from the median image by applying a threshold value. The threshold value is determined as a few times (e.g., four times) of the standard deviation derived at the sky level adjustment. Figure 8(d) shows the mask pattern where higher regions than the threshold value are colored black and the others are white. The mask pattern is applied to all of the images. In practice, no values (zero) are set in black regions, and nothing is done to white regions. Figure 8(e) shows the result of mask pattern application. The influences of field stars are completely removed, and only the asteroid remains. In other words, this mask pattern process ignores the bright regions in images. This is quite reasonable, because if asteroids are near those of bright stars, it is difficult to confirm them. This also removes image contamination caused by trails of field stars. In the simple shift-and-co-add method, unusable region caused by trails of field stars increases as the observation time increases. In this algorithm there is no such effect. By subtracting the median image, moderately bright regions are clearly removed, and such regions are usable for the detection of moving objects.

3.2.2 The Main Process



Figure 9: Difference between an average (or sum) image and a median image. (a) Part of one raw image, with a cosmic-ray effect in the center. (b) Same part of an average image of all raw images. The cosmic ray effect remains significantly. (c) Same part of a median image of all raw images. The effect is completely removed.

In the first process, the algorithm prepares very clean and field-star-free images. We then specify shift values for the xand y-axes of images in pixels. Once the shift values are determined, the algorithm crops sub-images from all of the images to fit the values, as shown in figure 1. The area of the sub-images depends on the shift values. If the shift values are 100 and 50 pixels for the x- and y-axes, respectively, the area of the sub-images is $(N_x-100) \times (N_y-50)$ (N_x and N_y being the number of pixels of the raw images along the x- and y-axes, respectively). A median image of all the sub-images is created and the candidates for moving objects are searched. At this stage, some readers may think that we should use average (or sum) instead of median, because we eliminate field stars clearly in the first process. However, some spiky noises, such as cosmic rays, hot pixels, blooming, and variable stars, must remain in individual frames that affect the average (or sum)



Figure 10: Bright moving objects are usually detected at different shift values in the first detection, showing an elongated shape, as (a). Then, they gradually approach a true shift value, as (b) and (c).

image seriously. A median image is not affected by such noises. Figure 9 shows the difference between an average (or sum) image and a median image. The effect of a cosmic ray of one raw image figure 9(a) remains on the average image figure 9(b), not on the median image figure 9(c). We know that the median reduced the noise levels as equation (1). Therefore, we chose median to avoid false detection. In order to find candidates, two criteria are assigned. One is a threshold value that is a few multiples of the background noise of the median image calculated by equation (1). We can specify the threshold value according to the situation. If the search goal is quite faint moving objects, the threshold must be low, which may detect false candidates and be time-consuming to analyze. The other criterion is the shape parameter, defined as the ratio of the value of the brightest pixel to the total value of the nine pixels centered by the brightest one. If the shape parameter is smaller than the specified value, the candidate is regarded as being noise. The shape parameter approaches unity as the PSF becomes small. We can also specify this value according to the observation system and the atmospheric conditions that affect the PSF. Many shift values must be applied to disclose various moving objects. If there are candidates that satisfy the two criteria, the algorithm records its coordinates on the first image and the shift values as a candidate. We call this the first detection.

Once a candidate is detected, the algorithm searches for the true shift values. Small regions (e.g., 20×20 pixels) around the candidate are cropped from all of the images, with a small change in the shift value. A median image of all those small sub-images is created and the peak value of the candidate is

investigated. This is repeated at shift values within ± 3 pixels along the x- and y-axes from the detected shift value. The shift value that shows the highest peak value becomes the next shift value. The same process is carried out for the next shift value. These processes are repeated until the peak value becomes a maximum at the true shift value. The shape parameter is calculated simultaneously. The algorithm records the coordinates of the first image, its true shift value, and the shape parameter naturally meets the criterion. We call this the second detection.

In order to detect faint moving objects, the algorithm needs to explore various shift values with small steps because such objects will disappear with a small change in the shift value. However, we cannot analyze all shift values because the analysis time is limited by the machine power. We therefore have to thin out shift values for analysis. We discuss this effect in section 3.4.

Bright moving objects are detected with various shift values in the first detection process, with an elongated shape as shown in figure 10(a). In the second detection process, they approach the true shift value, as shown in figures 10(b) and 10(c). Many second-detection processes are repeated for one bright moving object, which is a time burden for the analysis. We therefore set a territory for the second-detected object to avoid this. During the second-detection process, the algorithm refers to the coordinates of the second-detected objects. When the coordinates of a currently analyzed object are inside the territory (e.g., 20 pixels) of a second-detected object, the algorithm stops the analysis, judging that the object has already been second-detected. If the brightness of the analyzed object is brighter than the second-detected object, the algorithm deletes the second-detected object as a false candidate and continues the analysis until the brightness of the analyzed object reaches a maximum. This also avoids missing of a brighter moving object near a false object caused by a low threshold level setting. This criterion cannot detect two near-neighbor moving objects (only the brighter one is detected), but such a situation is very rare. The size of a territory is determined by the machine power, the limiting magnitude, the pixel scale of the observation system, and so on forth.

Finally, the method determines the celestial coordinates of the detected object using the Guide Star Catalog[18]. Pixel coordinates of field stars in the median image created in the first process are investigated using the IRAF command "daofind". These coordinates are compared with those in the Guide Star Catalog, and the plate solution is calculated using the IRAF command "ccxymatch". We can specify the pixel coordinates of detected objects at the beginning and the end of an observation using the coordinates and the shift value recorded at the second detection. Converting these coordinates to the celestial ones, using the plate solution and the IRAF command "ccxytran", is the simplest. However, the celestial coordinates determined include a one-pixel size error that may correspond to a few arcsec for wide field optics. Such an error limits the precision of orbital determination. The algorithm therefore calculates the two central coordinates at certain intervals (e.g., 20 minutes) by linearly scaling the coordinates of the beginning and the end. This will reduce any positional errors to less than 1". The magnitudes of detected objects are also determined by comparing the magnitudes of field stars in the median image with those given in the Guide Star Catalog. After checking whether the detected objects are known or unknown using MPChecker[19], we can report the observation time, the celestial coordinates, and the magnitude of detected objects to International Astronomical Union (IAU).

3.3 Test Observation

We performed a test observation to evaluate the effectiveness of the method for detections of asteroids. The same observational equipments for the observation of GEO debris are used. The detail of the observation equipments are described in section 2.2.

We observed three main-belt regions on 2002 March 12 and

13; 40 images with 3-min exposure were taken for each of the regions. The atmospheric conditions were fairly good. The PSF of the field star was 5.2''. The limiting magnitude of one frame was 19.5 magnitude with SN 10.

We analyzed these data with the algorithm at various shift values. Asteroids whose daily motions are 5.95'-31.75' with any directions of motion, except retrograde, were detectable. These shift values were set to a 5-pixel step in order to save analyzing time. Four hundred shift values were applied, requiring 2 hr to analyze one field (40 frames of 2K×2K pixels images) with a "Precision 340" PC manufactured by DELL. This PC contains 3.06 GHz CPU and 2 Gbytes memories. The total analysis time was 12 hr. The threshold value for the mask pattern was 28.0 analog-to-digital unit (ADU). This value is not needed to determine so strictly. As can be seen in figure 8 (c), only the central regions of the bright stars remain. Therefore, 2-5 times the sky background fluctuation in one frame is sufficient. At more crowded regions with the field stars, the threshold needs to be high to obtain no-masked regions. We set the detection threshold at 18.0 ADU, or 1.3-times the sky background fluctuation in one frame, and the shape parameter to 3.0. The detection threshold should be determined carefully. If the search goal is quite faint moving objects, the threshold must be low, which may detect false candidates and be a time-consuming analysis. From our experience, 5--6 times the sky background fluctuation in the median frame of all raw images produces good results. We discuss this point in section 4. After detecting candidates from all of the fields on both days, pairs whose starting and stopping positions were aligned within 1 arcsec along the observation time were discovered to be real asteroids. The candidates that have no partners may be false detections or real asteroids that were not detected on both days for some reason. Table 2 gives the details of 16 asteroids detected with the algorithm. The magnitudes were estimated from those of field stars that are listed in the Guide Star Catalog. Some examples are shown in figure 11. NAL019 are almost invisible on the raw images. We reported these 16 asteroids to IAU. NAL015, NAL016, NAL017, NAL018, and NAL019 are newly discovered asteroids. They are registered as 2002EQ153, 2002ES153, 2002EU153, 2002ER153, and 2002ET153. We demonstrated that a 35-cm telescope was able to detect a 21 magnitude asteroid with the method.



Figure 11: Three asteroids detected in a test observation. (a)-(c) and (d) are raw images of asteroid 18564 (18.7 mag) and the final image of the algorithm, respectively. The asteroid is at the center of each image. Images (e)-(g) and (h) are those of asteroid 40491 (20.5 mag). Images (i)-(k) and (l) are those of NAL019 (21.7 mag). 18564 is clearly visible in the raw images. In contrast, 40491 is hard to see and NAL019 is invisible in the raw images. Images (h) and (l) show that the algorithm successfully disclosed these faint objects.

3.4 Detection Efficiency

With a test observation, we demonstrated that the method is able to detect faint moving objects that are invisible on a single frame. For serious science work, we should know the detection efficiency of the algorithm. For example, the efficiency is needed to estimate the size and spatial distribution of main-belt asteroids or Edgeworth-Kuiper belt objects [20]. We used raw frames taken in the test observation to calculate the detection efficiency. First, these frames were randomly re-arranged with respect to their observation time, in order to eliminate the possibility of real asteroid detection events. Then, artificial asteroids of various magnitudes were placed on these frames with the proper shift values. Figure 12 shows artificial asteroids of various magnitudes. By analyzing these frames with the algorithm, we investigated the detection efficiency under various conditions.

Figure 13 shows the detection efficiency with various numbers of frames processed by the algorithm. The x- and y-axes are the magnitudes of artificial asteroids and the detection efficiency, respectively. The figure indicates that fainter objects are detectable as the number of frames increases. The detection threshold of figure 13 was determined to be 6-times the background noise of the corresponding number of images as shown in figure 3.

We then investigated the influence of the detection threshold value. Figure 14 shows the detection efficiency at various threshold values; 40 frames were used in the method. Darker objects are detectable as the threshold value decreases. However, figure 15 indicates that false detections increase as the threshold value decreases. The values in figure 15 are for



Figure 12: Artificial asteroids used to calculate the detection efficiency. Images (a), (b), and (c) show a 19.5 mag asteroid. The asteroid is in the center of the circle of (a). Images (d), (e), and (f) show a 20.5 mag asteroid. Asteroids are in the same position as in (a), (b), and (c). Images (g), (h), and (i) show a 21.2 mag asteroid.



Figure 13: Detection efficiency with various numbers of frames processed by the method.



Figure 14: Detection efficiency at various threshold values.



Figure 15: Number of false detections at various threshold values.



Figure 16: Detection efficiency for various step size of the shift value.

one shift value. When 400 shift values are investigated, as in this test observation, the values in figure 15 are multiplied by 400. A low threshold value should be used to detect faint moving objects, but this causes many false detections, which require extended analysis time. Powerful machines are needed to cope with this.

As described in subsection 3.2.2, the method needs to survey various shift values with a small step to detect faint moving objects, because such objects will disappear with a small change of the shift value. However, we cannot analyze all shift values because of the excessive computational demand. We investigated the detection efficiency for various step sizes of the shift values. Forty frames were used in the algorithm with a threshold value of 16 ADU. Figure 16 shows the results. $N \times N$ means the shift values are changed by N-pixel steps. Fainter moving objects are difficult to detect as the step size increases. However, the number of process decreases by $N \times N$ as compared with the 1×1 case. This reduces the analysis time by a factor of $N \times N$, as compared with the 1×1 case. The user of this method can specify the most suitable parameter settings (frame number, threshold, and step size) for the observational goal, equipment capability, field number, observation frequency, and machine power.

As described in section 3.3, the limiting magnitude of one frame of our observation system is 19.5. Figure 13 shows that the algorithm can detect 2-mag fainter objects using 40 frames. However, the method requires many frames, which means that the area coverage in a night is reduced. Various NEOs search groups observe one field 3 times, and survey a wide field in a short period to detectas many NEOs as possible. After they find out all NEOs that they can detect in present observation mode, we think our method is useful to obtain a 2-mag deeper limiting magnitude which means smaller NEOs are detectable. In this case, a 13 (40/3) times observation period is needed to cover same field of present observation mode. However, this disadvantage is recovered by multiplying the same observation equipment or extending the waiting time for the result, which are negligible compared with a catastrophe caused by an Earth impactor.

We have transferred our techniques for the algorithm to a company, AstroArts Inc., and collaborate with the company to produce a user-friendly program for discoveries of asteroids, ``Stella Hunter Professional", which embodies the method described here [21]. This is written in C++ and GUI based. It runs on Windows 98SE, Me, 2000 and Xp machines. At least, 1 GByte hard disk and 256 MByte memories are need for machines. During development process of this program, a lot of asteroids were discovered by this method. The details of the discovered asteroids are listed in the appendix.

4.Conclusions

We established the stacking method in order to detect unknown small size of GEO debris and asteroids. This method uses many CCD images and enables us to detect very faint objects that are below the detection limit of one CCD image. Sub-images from many CCD images are cut out in order to fit the movement of the objects. A median image of all the sub-images is then created. This eliminates the background stars and reduces the sky background noise efficiently. We tried this method using a 35cm telescope and a 2K2K CCD camera. In the case of detection of GEO debris, the method detected 20 magnitude objects that are about 50cm in the geostationary orbit with the albedo of 0.1. In the case of asteroid, 21 mag asteroids are detected by analyzing 40 images with this method. These results show this method works efficiently to detect faint objects.

Concerning analysis time, although it does not take much time to detect faint main-belt asteroids because their motions are almost same, it does for detection of faint GEO debris and NEOs. We need to find out an efficient strategy using the method for the future systematic observation of GEO debris and NEO surveys.

Table2: Details of detected asteroid

Object	Date(UT)	R.A.(hms)	Dec. (° ' '')	Magnitude (V)
18564	2002/3/12. 52135	11 08 37.92	+05 40 05.5	18.7
	2002/3/13. 50961	11 07 52.79	+05 44 48.2	18.7
2000SM104	2002/3/12. 52135	11 10 15.88	+05 16 05.6	19.8
	2002/3/13. 50961	11 09 19.16	+05 21 39.7	19.8
2002DK02	2002/3/12. 52135	11 08 32.76	+05 22 58.5	20. 0
	2002/3/13. 50961	11 07 40.30	+05 33 35.9	20.0
2002E003	2002/3/12. 52135	11 09 46.39	+05 32 01.9	21.0
	2002/3/13. 50961	11 08 51.46	+05 39 07.3	21.0
2002EH50	2002/3/12. 52135	11 08 39.45	+05 36 08.0	21.3
	2002/3/13. 50961	11 07 38.43	+05 38 37.9	21.3
1999VG142	2002/3/12. 62863	12 18 32.10	-01 57 38.0	19.9
	2002/3/13. 62017	12 17 51.10	-01 53 17.8	19.9
2002EG70	2002/3/12. 62863	12 18 28.62	-01 55 00.0	20.5
	2002/3/13. 62017	12 17 41.87	-01 48 32.5	20. 5
2000WH39	2002/3/12. 62863	12 17 07.02	-01 50 00.5	20. 7
	2002/3/13. 62017	12 16 18.30	-01 42 46.5	20. 7
NAL015	2002/3/12. 62863	12 17 19.39	-01 48 01.2	21.6
	2002/3/13. 62017	12 16 31.26	-01 39 51.8	21.6
40491	2002/3/12.73567	12 19 33.75	-02 09 57.5	20.5
	2002/3/13. 71926	12 18 50.47	-02 04 46.1	20. 5
NAL016	2002/3/12. 73567	12 20 44.75	-02 13 03.3	20.9
	2002/3/13. 71926	12 20 05.12	-02 03 57.4	20.9
2000SG225	2002/3/12.73567	12 21 13.49	-02 02 48.9	21.2
	2002/3/13.71926	12 20 25.02	-01 56 36.4	21.2
2002ED72	2002/3/12.73567	12 19 37.37	-02 09 43.8	21.4
	2002/3/13.71926	12 19 04.80	-02 08 27.5	21.4
NAL017	2002/3/12.73567	12 21 07.04	-02 08 34.3	21.6
	2002/3/13.71926	12 20 15.44	-02 04 20.2	21.6
NAL018	2002/3/12.73567	12 19 52.71	-02 04 19.7	21.5
	2002/3/13.71926	12 19 26.74	-02 00 29.9	21.5
NAL019	2002/3/12.73567	12 20 47.09	-01 55 10.3	21.7
	2002/3/13.71926	12 19 59.49	-01 51 46.5	21.7

References

- National Research Council, Orbital Debris A Technical Assessment, Commission Engineering and Technical Systems, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., 1995.
- [2] The Report on the Investigation about Optical Telescope for the Observation of Space Debris and NEO, Fundation for Promotion of Japanese Aerospace Technology(JAST) Press, 1997.
- [3] Portree, D. S. F. and Loftus, J. P.: Orbital Debris: A Chronogy, NASA/TP-1999-208856, 142-146, 1999.
- [4] Bottke, W. F., Jedicke, R., Morbidelli, A., Petit, J.-M., and Gladman, B.: Understanding the Distribution of Near-Earth Asteroids, Science, 288(2000), pp. 2190-2194.
- [5] Talent, D. L., Maeda, R., Walton, S. R., Sydney, P. F., Hsu, Y., Cameron, B. A., Kervin, P. W., Helin, Eleanor F.; Pravdo, S. H., Lawrence, K., Rabinowitz, D.: New home for NEAT on the 1.2m/B37 at AMOS, Proc. SPIE, 4091(2000), pp. 225-236.
- [6] Marzari, F., Farinella, P., and Davis, D. R.,: Origin, Aging, and Death of Asteroid Families, Icarus, 142(1999), pp. 63-77.
- [7] Jewitt, D., and Luu, J.: Discovery of the candidate Kuiper belt object 1992 QB1, Nature, 362(1993), pp. 730-732.
- [8] Miyazaki, S., Sekiguchi, M., Imi, K., Okada, N., Nakata, F., and Komiyama, Y.: Characterization and mosaicking of CCDs and the applications to the SUBARU wide-field camera (Suprime-Cam), Proc. SPIE., 3355(1998), pp. 363-374
- [9] Yanagisawa, T., Nakajima, A., Kimura, T., Isobe, T., Futami, H. and Suzuki, M.: Detection of Small GEO Debris by use of the stacking method, Trans, Jpn Soc, Aero. Space Sci, 44 (2002), pp. 190-199.
- [10] Pennycook, G.: Modification of the Boller and Chivens Telescope to f/6.25 and Photometry of IC5249's Halo, MS thesis, Univ. Auckland, 1998; Report of the work done with the Grant-in-Aid for International Scientific Research in 1996-1998, given by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan, pp. 204-310, 2000.
- [11] Nakajima, A., Kimura, T., Yanagisawa, T., and Hoshino, T.; GEO/LEO Space Debris Optical Observation Facilities in NAL, Proceedings of the 23rd International Symposium on Space Technology and Science, 2(2002), pp. 2336-2341.

- [12] http://www.iraf.noao.edu/
- [13] Isobe, S. and Japanese Spaceguard Association.: Japanese 0.5m and 1.0m Telescope to Detect Space Debris and Near-Earth Asteroids, Advances in Space Res., 23(1999), pp. 33-36.
- [14] Isobe, S., Mulherin, J., Way, S., Downey, E., Nishimura,
 K., Doi, I. and Saotome, M.: A Cost Effective,
 Advanced-Technology Telescope System for Detecting
 Near Earth Objects and Space Debris, Proc. SPIE, In
 Telescope Structures, Enclosures, Assembly /
 Integration / Validation, and Commissioning,
 4004(2000), pp. 382-388.
- [15] Umehara, H. and Kimura, K.: An optical search for near-synchronous debris: survey to 90 degrees of right ascension, J. Jpn. Soc. Aeronaut. Space Sci, 49(2000), pp. 1-8.
- [16] Mohanty, N. C., IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 3(1981), pp. 606
- [17] Kelly, E. J., IEEE Trans. on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 22(1985), 115
- [18] http://www-gsss.stsci.edu/gsc/GSChome.htm
- [19] http://scully.harvard.edu/~cgi/CheckMP
- [20] Yoshida, F., Nakamura, T., Watanabe, J., Kinoshita, D., Yamamoto, N., and Fuse, T.: Size and Spatial Distributions of Sub-km Main-Belt Asteroids, PASJ, 55(2003), pp. 701-715
- [21] http://www.astroarts.com/products/stlhtp/index-j.shtml

1	o	
L	ð	

Appendix

Personal Code	Designation	Discovery Date(UT)	Discovery Position (2000.0) Right Ascension Declination	Magnitude
NAL010	2002 AM180	C2002 01 12.58230	07 26 50.16 +22 14 58.4	20. 2
NAL011	2002 AL180	C2002 01 12.58230	07 26 49.13 +22 07 13.2	20. 2
NAL012	2002 AK180	C2002 01 12.48167	07 25 10.67 +22 04 39.0	20.0
NAL013	2002 AJ180	C2002 01 12.48167	07 24 51.90 +21 55 51.7	20. 1
NAL014	2002 AH180	C2002 01 12.48167	07 23 32.28 +22 03 06.9	20. 1
NAL015	2002 EQ153	C2002 03 12.62863	12 17 19.39 -01 48 01.2	20.9
NAL016	2002 ES153	C2002 03 12.73567	12 20 44.75 -02 13 03.3	20. 2
NAL017	2002 EU153	C2002 03 12.73567	12 21 07.04 -02 08 34.3	20.6
NAL018	2002 ER153	C2002 03 12.73567	12 19 52.71 -02 04 19.7	20.7
NAL019	2002 ET153	C2002 03 12.73567	12 20 47.09 -01 55 10.3	20.9
NAL020	2002 VC132	C2002 11 05.68139	04 00 49.88 +20 53 18.6	19.3
NAL022	2002 VD132	C2002 11 05.68139	04 01 00.93 +20 18 13.7	19.8
NAL023	2002 VW131	C2002 11 05.68139	03 58 41.65 +20 21 00.9	19.9
NAL024	2002 VA132	C2002 11 05.68139	04 00 38.03 +20 39 27.8	20.0
NAL025	2002 VY131	C2002 11 05.68139	03 59 12.80 +20 01 36.6	20. 1
NAL026	2002 VX131	C2002 11 05.68139	03 59 06.65 +20 29 17.0	20. 1
NAL027	2002 VB132	C2002 11 05.68139	04 00 39.81 +21 01 31.8	20. 1
NAL028	2002 VZ131	C2002 11 05.68139	04 00 26.18 +20 15 37.0	20.3
NAL029	2002 VP131	C2002 11 05.47815	02 57 35.23 +17 36 44.5	18.8
NAL030	2002 VQ131	C2002 11 05. 47815	02 59 31.42 +16 40 47.0	19.2
NAL031	2002 VU131	C2002 11 05.47815	03 01 53.89 +16 56 30.0	19.4
NAL033	2002 VR131	C2002 11 05.47815	03 00 30.76 +17 11 44.8	19.5
NAL034	2002 VS131	C2002 11 05.47815	03 00 49.82 +17 34 25.6	19.7
NAL035	2002 VE132	C2002 11 05.47815	02 59 06.86 +16 31 47.7	19.7
NAL036	2002 VT131	C2002 11 05.47815	03 01 42.00 +16 50 23.3	19.7
NAL037	2002 VV131	C2002 11 05.47815	03 01 56.58 +17 12 28.1	19.9
NAL038	2002 VC137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 27 56.51 +19 09 18.6	19.6
NAL039	2002 VG137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 29 17.35 +19 11 27.8	19.9
NAL040	2002 VH137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 29 51.99 +18 49 06.0	20.0
NAL041	2002 VK137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 31 02.71 +18 44 14.8	20.0
NAL042	2002 VM137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 31 47.62 +18 28 12.3	20.0
NAL043	2002 VP137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 32 12.65 +19 09 25.7	20.0
NAL044	2002 VD137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 28 16.29 +18 36 51.9	20.1
NAL045	2002 VE137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 28 18.76 +18 55 13.2	20.1
NAL046	2002 VJ137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 30 42.89 +19 09 32.9	20. 1
NAL047	2002 V0137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 32 12.22 +18 26 52.7	20. 1
NAL048	2002 VL137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 31 36.71 +19 06 51.6	20. 2
NAL049	2002 VF137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 28 27.54 +19 13 49.6	20. 3
NAL050	2002 VN137	C2002 11 05.58420	03 31 57.88 +18 29 43.1	20. 3
NAL051	2002 VQ137	C2002 11 05.78962	04 14 37.80 +21 21 34.2	19.5
NAL052	2002 VR137	C2002 11 05. 78962	04 15 04.54 +21 22 20.0	20. 0

Personal Code	Designation	Discovery Date(UT)	Discovery Position (2000.0) Right Ascension Declination	Magnitude
JAX001	2005 CG69	C2005 01 17.63192	08 04 15.57 +19 58 28.6	19.8
JAX002	2005 CH69	C2005 02 02. 57797	07 48 01.45 +20 37 36.9	21.0
JAX012	2005 YX231	C2005 12 27. 70884	07 47 17.78 +23 31 40.5	20.3
JAX016	2006 BL29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 18 57.33 +19 10 12.3	21.7
JAX018	2006 BM29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 19 25.01 +19 42 52.8	20.9
JAX019	2006 BQ29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 19 50.30 +19 10 38.5	22. 5
JAX020	2006 BG29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 17 39.10 +19 36 58.7	20.5
JAX021	2006 BJ29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 18 16.18 +19 35 16.1	20.7
JAX023	2006 BS29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 19 55.91 +19 47 09.1	20.9
JAX025	2006 BP29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 19 48.36 +18 56 12.5	20.5
JAX026	2006 BN29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 19 32.81 +19 28 46.7	21.6
JAX027	2006 B029	C2006 01 23.66564	09 19 40.64 +19 46 00.6	20.7
JAX028	2006 BV29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 20 22.36 +19 09 34.9	20.4
JAX030	2006 BX29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 20 55.02 +18 53 24.2	22.1
JAX031	2006 BT29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 20 04.41 +18 55 06.5	21.1
JAX035	2006 BY29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 21 31.47 +18 43 12.8	21.1
JAX036	2006 BU29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 20 20.66 +19 12 21.1	21.5
JAX037	2006 BR29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 19 53.71 +18 45 22.2	20.4
JAX038	2006 BW29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 20 53.15 +18 50 29.0	21.0
JAX039	2006 BK29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 18 35.32 +19 41 24.4	21.1
JAX040	2006 BH29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 17 58.21 +18 43 35.8	20.5
JAX042	2006 BZ29	C2006 01 23.66564	09 21 42.56 +19 43 43.1	21.3
JAX042A	2006 BE146	C2006 01 24.66538	09 20 54.25 +19 47 59.2	21.0
JAX045	2006 BF39	C2006 01 24.57792	07 57 04.41 +24 12 58.2	20.7
JAX046	2006 BG39	C2006 01 24.57792	07 57 06.17 +24 34 45.9	21.3
JAX051	2006 BH39	C2006 01 24.57792	07 57 11.05 +23 33 56.4	20.5
JAX052	2006 BK39	C2006 01 24.57792	07 58 27.25 +24 05 42.1	20.6
JAX053	2006 BM39	C2006 01 24.57792	07 58 38.96 +24 04 45.8	20.8
JAX054	2006 BN39	C2006 01 24.57792	08 00 10.02 +24 01 24.1	21.1
JAX056	2006 BJ39	C2006 01 24.57792	07 57 47.24 +23 48 15.9	20.5
JAX057	2006 BL39	C2006 01 24.57792	07 58 36.62 +23 50 42.0	21.6
JAX059	2006 BX54	C2006 01 25.65913	09 23 10.56 +11 08 41.7	20.6
JAX060	2006 BZ54	C2006 01 25.65913	09 23 52.87 +10 54 34.0	21.4
JAX062	2006 BY54	C2006 01 25.65913	09 23 14.97 +10 53 03.9	20.5
JAX064	2006 BA55	C2006 01 25.65913	09 24 06.47 +10 45 28.0	21.3
JAX067	2006 E01	C2006 03 02.77155	11 27 38.71 +08 15 11.2	19.8
JAX068	2006 EN1	C2006 03 02.77155	11 27 16.42 +07 54 01.0	19.5
JAX071	2006 EP1	C2006 03 02.77155	11 27 45.31 +07 33 44.4	20. 1
JAX072	2006 EL1	C2006 03 02.77155	11 24 58.85 +08 11 49.2	20. 4
JAX074	2006 EK1	C2006 03 02.77155	11 24 50.92 +07 42 39.3	21.3
JAX075	2006 EM1	C2006 03 02.77155	11 26 08.25 +07 42 17.0	20.5
JAX078	2006 EX1	C2006 03 03. 60125	11 27 50.97 +08 17 04.2	20.9
JAX080	2006 EW1	C2006 03 03.60125	11 27 48.90 +07 58 53.9	21.5

Personal Code	Designation	Discovery Date(UT)	Discovery Position (2000.0) Right Ascension Declination	Magnitude
JAX082	2006 ES1	C2006 03 03.60125	11 24 55.47 +08 31 32.9	20.6
JAX083	2006 EV1	C2006 03 03.60125	11 27 06.81 +07 39 21.8	20.8
JAX084	2006 EU1	C2006 03 03. 60125	11 26 13.17 +08 07 56.0	20.0
JAX085	2006 EQ45	C2006 03 03.60125	11 24 36.65 +08 25 00.0	20.8
JAX086	2006 E02	C2006 03 03.60125	11 25 14.66 +07 52 58.1	20.7
JAX087	2006 ER1	C2006 03 03.60125	11 24 23.36 +08 12 06.0	21.1
JAX088	2006 ET1	C2006 03 03. 60125	11 25 34.19 +07 55 09.1	21.4
JAX091	2006 EP45	C2006 03 03.60125	11 24 07.27 +07 45 07.8	20.7
JAX092	2006 EP2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 15 32.15 +02 31 32.3	20.8
JAX093	2006 EB2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 14 22.57 +03 28 29.6	20.7
JAX094	2006 EL2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 16 54.45 +02 31 30.8	20.6
JAX099	2006 EZ1	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 13 45.56 +03 34 45.5	19.6
JAX100	2006 EN2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 17 32.47 +02 52 31.6	20.9
JAX101	2006 EM2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 17 05.48 +02 53 46.1	20. 2
JAX102	2006 EE2	C2006 03 03.70856	12 14 42.61 +02 53 24.7	21.0
JAX103	2006 EF2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 15 02.81 +02 24 17.9	20.0
JAX104	2006 ED2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 14 30. 24 +03 23 35. 0	20.4
JAX105	2006 EA2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 14 17.91 +02 55 16.0	20.6
JAX106	2006 EC2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 14 27.67 +02 58 17.7	21.1
JAX107	2006 EJ2	C2006 03 03.70856	12 16 31.22 +02 34 11.3	21.0
JAX108	2006 EY1	C2006 03 03.70856	12 13 20.11 +02 41 09.9	21.3
JAX109	2006 EH2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 15 25.60 +03 02 32.4	20.6
JAX110	2006 EG2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 15 23.08 +02 59 16.8	21.1
JAX111	2006 EY44	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 14 47.28 +02 54 46.2	21.3
JAX112	2006 EK2	C2006 03 03. 70856	12 16 53.36 +03 09 07.8	21.3
JAX114	2006 E045	C2006 03 03.79887	12 57 11.67 -02 05 36.5	21.3
JAX116	2006 F046	C2006 03 30. 53933	11 56 08.49 +05 04 31.0	20.1
JAX117	2006 FN46	C2006 03 30. 53933	11 54 40.62 +05 32 06.4	21.3
JAX118	2006 FP46	C2006 03 30. 53933	11 55 49.85 +05 11 25.6	20.4
JAX122	2006 BH273	C2006 01 24.57792	07 57 57.72 +23 52 46.7	20.9
JAX123	2006 BJ273	C2006 01 24.57792	08 00 07.74 +24 05 59.9	22.4
JAX127	2006 JB6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 26 03.42 -13 52 11.0	21.0
JAX128	2006 JJ6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 27 44.95 -13 38 24.3	21.1
JAX129	2006 JF6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 27 13.26 -14 11 35.6	21.2
JAX130	2006 JG6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 27 27.03 -14 29 27.5	20.8
JAX132	2006 JL6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 28 47.24 -14 30 21.6	22.0
JAX133	2006 JD6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 26 53.53 -13 41 52.5	21.2
JAX135	2006 JE6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 27 09.39 -13 53 51.7	21.2
JAX136	2006 JK6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 28 43.66 -14 39 20.8	22.1
JAX138	2006 JC6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 26 49.64 -14 02 59.7	21.1
JAX139	2006 JH6	C2006 05 02.64080	15 27 34.58 -13 33 41.9	20.8
JAX140	2006 JJ42	C2006 05 02.64080	15 29 52.21 -13 53 33.0	21.8
JAX141	2006 JG42	C2006 05 02.64080	15 26 57.35 -14 25 30.7	22.5

Personal Code	Designation	Discovery Date(UT)	Discovery Position (2000.0) Right Ascension Declination	Magnitude
JAX142	2006 JK42	C2006 05 02 64080	15 30 06 51 -14 13 47 4	20.8
JAX143	2006 JH42	C2006 05 02 64080	15 27 46 75 -14 18 48 4	22.1
JAX144	2006 KS103	C2006 05 30 66125	16 27 56 42 -15 25 38 9	21.8
JAX145	2006 KQ103	C2006 05 30 66125	16 25 20 72 -15 48 41 2	21.7
JAX148	2006 KT103	C2006 05 30 66125	16 29 11.01 -15 11 06.9	21.0
JAX149	2006 KR103	C2006 05 30 66125	16 27 52 18 -14 54 14 2	22.0
JAX150	2006 UY61	C2006 10 18 60421	01 26 25 31 +14 57 02 6	19.9
JAX157	2006 UZ61	C2006 10 18, 60421	01 27 10.84 +14 33 49.2	20. 2
JAX158	2006 UX61	C2006 10 18.60421	01 24 32 41 +15 23 51 6	19.0
JAX159	2006 UM63	C2006 10 20, 72515	02 43 22.68 +20 22 43.3	19.7
JAX160	2006 UL63	C2006 10 20, 72515	02 42 36.26 +20 28 21.8	20.0
JAX161	2006 UH63	C2006 10 20.72515	02 39 41.57 +20 36 13.1	21.1
JAX163	2006 UJ63	C2006 10 20.72515	02 42 11.51 +21 08 17.4	20.3
JAX164	2006 UK63	C2006 10 20. 72515	02 42 25.59 +20 14 14.3	20. 1
JAX166	2006 UK218	C2006 10 30.63370	01 15 35.76 +12 32 51.1	20.8
JAX167	2006 UH218	C2006 10 27.66180	02 37 52.87 +19 58 00.1	20. 2
JAX168	2006 UD218	C2006 10 27.66180	02 35 57.65 +19 52 06.4	20.6
JAX169	2006 UF218	C2006 10 27.66180	02 37 30.86 +20 12 50.4	20. 4
JAX170	2006 UB218	C2006 10 27.66180	02 33 51.40 +20 15 41.4	21.1
JAX171	2006 UG218	C2006 10 27.66180	02 37 51.82 +19 39 29.8	21.6
JAX173	2006 UJ218	C2006 10 27.66180	02 37 59.97 +20 25 47.2	20.5
JAX174	2006 UE218	C2006 10 27.66180	02 36 04.37 +20 52 25.0	21.4
JAX175	2006 UC218	C2006 10 27.66180	02 35 41.73 +20 36 54.1	21.0
JAX176	2006 UN218	C2006 10 30.72289	02 33 41.42 +19 26 31.8	20.8
JAX177	2006 UM218	C2006 10 30.72289	02 32 34.34 +19 56 49.2	20. 2
JAX178	2006 UL218	C2006 10 30.72289	02 31 37.91 +19 49 36.5	21.5
JAX179	2006 WS127	C2006 11 16. 59405	02 16 27.29 +18 27 05.3	21.0
JAX180	2006 WL	C2006 11 16.59405	02 19 31.38 +19 28 04.0	20.1
JAX181	2006 WM	C2006 11 16.59405	02 20 23.06 +19 26 25.3	20.3
JAX182	2006 WK	C2006 11 16. 59405	02 18 48.18 +18 53 45.8	21.2
JAX183	2006 WS4	C2006 11 16.72535	05 15 48.97 +25 54 37.5	20.9
JAX185	2006 WY127	C2006 11 24.67539	05 07 14.50 +26 52 07.0	19.7
JAX187	2006 WE128	C2006 11 16. 72535	05 15 56.32 +26 22 29.7	20.9
JAX188	2006 WA128	C2006 11 24.67539	05 08 35.11 +26 11 00.5	20.2
JAX189	2006 WB128	C2006 11 24.67539	05 09 18.82 +26 33 01.1	20.4
JAX190	2006 WX127	C2006 11 24.67539	05 06 50.38 +26 10 47.2	21.5
JAX191	2006 WG128	C2006 11 24.67539	05 11 13.68 +26 31 35.7	20.7
JAX193	2006 WC128	C2006 11 21.80506	05 12 15.90 +26 16 41.2	20. 1
JAX194	2006 WF128	C2006 11 24.67539	05 10 05.82 +26 39 34.5	21.0
JAX195	2006 WD128	C2006 11 24.67539	05 09 40.62 +26 26 31.3	20.9
JAX196	2006 WZ127	C2006 11 24.67539	05 07 59.59 +26 35 59.1	20. 2
JAX202	2006 YH11	C2006 12 18.58210	04 29 39.13 +18 59 27.9	20.6
JAX203	2006 YJ11	C2006 12 18.58210	04 30 10.30 +18 07 43.0	21.3

JAXA Research and Development Report JAXA-RR-07-032E

Date of Issue : February 29, 2008

Edited and Published by : Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency

7-44-1 Jindaiji-higashimach, Chofu-shi, Tokyo 182-8522, Japan

URL: http://www.jaxa.jp/

Printed by : NORTH ISLAND Co.,Ltd

Inquires about copyright and reproduction should be addressed to the Aerospace Information Archive Center, Information Systems Department, JAXA.

2-1-1 Sengen, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki 305-8505, Japan

Phone: +81-29-868-5000 Fax: +81-29-868-2956

Copyright © 2008 by JAXA.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electoronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without permission in writing form the publisher.



Printed on Recycled Paper