高忠実な物理モデルによるリエントリ安全評価法LS-DARC の開発 - 第1報

Development of High Fidelity Model-based Re-entry Safety Analysis Tool LS-DARC – Part 1

> 藤本圭一郎, 根岸秀世, 大坊俊彰, 飯塚宣行, 清水隆三, 沖田耕一 (宇宙航空研究開発機構) Keiichiro Fujimoto, Hideyo Negishi, Toshiaki Daibo, Nobuyuki Iizuka, Ryuzo Shimizu and Koichi Okita (JAXA)

宇宙開発はこの半世紀において科学や工学の両面において飛躍的な発展を遂げてきた.一方,宇宙開発を今後も持続可能なものにするためにはスペースデブリ問題の対策が重要である.本研究は新たなデブリ発生を抑える非デブリ化対策として,ロケット上段や宇宙機の大気圏突入後の溶融残存物による地上被害リスクの最小化を目指している.とくに本研究では高忠実な物理モデルを採用した,複雑な実機形状の複合物理連成シミュレーションによるリエントリ安全評価法 LS-DARC (Destructive Atmospheric Re-entry Code)を開発している.設計パラメータ変更による安全性向上度を定量的に分析できるようにすることで,a)上流設計段階からの溶融促進設計,b)認知学的な不確かさの低減による高精度なリスク評価を実現することが目的である.LS-DARC を用いることで,下図に示すようにロケット上段や衛星などの複雑形状に対し,空力,6自由度姿勢/軌道,熱流束,伝熱を連成解析し,溶融・破壊による形状変化も扱うことができる複合物理連成シミュレーションを行うことができる、本報告では,LS-DARC の開発状況と安全性評価法としての実用化に向けた研究課題を議論する.

Exponential progress in space exploration both for science and engineering have been made in a half century. Space debris problem is a growing concern to be tackled internationally to keep our space activity sustainable. For the improvement in the ground safety related to the survived debris after the destructive re-entry of the rocket upper stages and the spacecrafts, the comprehensive considerations on the design and the disposal operation should be made. High-fidelity model-based re-entry safety analysis tool LS-DARC is under the development in JAXA. Purpose of this study is an establishment of quantitative assessment of the design and disposal operation change effect on the re-entry risk. Consequently, a) design for demise from the initial development phase, and b) accurate risk prediction by reducing epistemic uncertainty are realized. LS-DARC is multi-physics coupling analysis code including the aerodynamic and 6DoF trajectory analysis, surface heat flux distribution analysis, three-dimensional thermal transfer analysis. Complicated real geometry can be considered including the small curvature effect on the heat flux increase and the shape change due to structure demise. Development status of LS-DARC is overviewed and the research needs are discussed.





2018.12.04 8th Space debris Workshop @ Chofu, Tokyo, Japan Development of High Fidelity Model-based Re-entry Safety Analysis Tool LS-DARC – Part 1



R&D Directorate, Research Unit III (JEDI)

R&D Research Unit III (JAXA's Engineering Digital Innovation Center)

- Innovation of Engineering by using advanced IT technology
- IT technology professionals (About 40~50 people)







Rocket Engine

305



Uncertainty Factors for Re-entry Risk Analysis [1,2,5,6]

> Uncertainty factors are identified, quantified based on the flight experiment, high fidelity simulations, and ground test.



B) Fragment Dispersion Model

Update Plan for Re-entry Safety Analysis Tools at JAXA

1) By improvement of re-entry safety analysis methods, accuracy improvement EC analysis and design for demise will be achieved.

2) By understanding physical mechanism, physics-based model and assumptions are re-considered.



Epistemic Uncertainty Quantification Strategy [1,2,5,6]

- > Started from low cost Unit validation, then expensive Integrated validation.
- ▷ High fidelity simulation to understand physics and cover huge parameter space.

X2

Experiment not to miss unknown physics.



Spacecraft-oriented Re-entry Risk Analysis Tool : LS-DARC [3,4]

- ▷ LS Destructive Atmospheric Re-entry Code (LS-DARC)
- \triangleright Development start from FY2015, will be completed 1st ver. in this year.
- ▷ Heat flux model with considering local curvature effect !!
- ▷ Investigation on dynamic sampling^[9], GPU-based shadowing.
- ▷ Model validation by wind tunnel and flight data is under the way for upper stages.



JAXA's CFD Tools for LS-DARC Model Validation [3,4]

High Altitude (Rarefied Flow) <UNITED>

- Handling Complicated Geometry with 6DOF motion (Cartesian Grid)
- Fast Computation (Tuned for HPC)
- Various Numerical Models [10-15]
- Validated & Widely Applied



- Handling Complicated Geometry with 6DOF motion (Cartesian Grid-based)
 Fast Computation
- (Tuned for HPC, Adaptive Mesh Refinement)
- Various Numerical Models
- Validated^[19-21] & Applied to cryogenics^[22] and combustion^[23]



Governing	Boltzmann equation of kinetic
equations	theory
Chemical	No chemical reaction is considered
reaction	
Collision model	Variable Soft Sphere model [11]
Internal energy	LB model for internal energy
relaxation	relaxation [15]
model	Constant (Zr=5, Zv=50) model for
	relaxation coefficient of rotational
	and vibrational energy
Wall reflection	Diffusion reflection boundary at
model	300K (wall)
# of Particles	$10^7 - 10^8$
Governing	3D compressible Navier–Stokes eqs.
equations	
Chemical	Detailed chemical reaction, Fast time
Reaction	integration ERENA ^[34] , Flamlet ^[36]
Spatial	Cell-centered FVM
discretization	
Spatial	Green-Gauss ^[27,28,29] etc.
reconstruction	Venkatakrishnan ^[30] limiter etc.
	(Wang's modification ^[31])
	High-order FR method ^[32]
Inviscid Term	SLAU2 ^[33] etc.
Viscous Term	Shima's Method
Turbulence	Baldwin-Lomax ^[24] Spalart-Allmaras ^[25]
Model	DES/DDES ^[26]
Time	2 nd order
Integration	LU-SGS ^[34] with inner iterations
Grid	-Arbitrary unstructured grid
	-Body-fitted Cartesian grid ^[16]

High Fidelity Simulations - Random tumbling or Trim?

Demonstration 6DoF Analysis by DSMC code (UNITED)



High Fidelity Simulations - 1st Breakup Mechanism ?

Demonstration 6DoF Analysis by DSMC code (UNITED)



Re-entry Flight Test – Model Validation and Understand Physics



Object of benchmark study between JAXA and CNES^[4]

- ▷ Understanding of the flow mechanism during the re-entry.
- Uncertainty quantification especially for the aerodynamic characteristics and the heat flux models.
- ▷ Further accuracy improvement based on the detailed understanding of the physics and the bits from the high-fidelity numerical simulation fields.
- JAXA wants to develop spacecraft-oriented re-entry risk analysis, and CNES wants to compare CFD and the local surface method results.

STEP1 : Basics (FY2015~2017)

- Well studied and much experiments
- Less uncertainty factors and known I.C. and B.C.



STEP2 : Rocket Upper Stage (FY2017)

- Less studies
- To know current model accuracy for realistic problems
- (e.g.) Shock interaction, concave shape



Basic Shape: Analysis Conditions and Grid

- ▷ Geometries are cylinder and box (Sub-systems of rocket upper stage).
- \triangleright $M_{\infty} = 11.72$ for box, $M_{\infty} = 14.35$ for cylinder.
- ▷ Laminar flow, 2nd order Green-Gauss with Venkatakrishnan limiter, SLAU2 for Euler flux, 2nd order time accuracy by LU-SGS with inner iteration.





Box Mach 11.72 Pitch 0 deg



Box Mach 11 72 Pitch 30 de

Total : 2.64 million cells Minimum grid size: 3.36×10^{-6} Cell Reynolds number=0.5 for box 1.39×10^{-5} Cell Reynolds number=0.5 for cylinder

Basic Shape: Comparison of CFD and Correlation Models^[4]

- LS-DARC and PAMPERO^[8] can quantitatively predict even at corners with same model parameter value.
- ▷ Shadowed lee-ward surface may have non-zero heat flux.
 → Next research topic.





311

Upper Stage: Analysis Conditions and Grid

- ▷ Geometries are simplified rocket upper stage.
- $\triangleright M_{\infty} = 10.64$ at 45 km.
- ▷ Baldwin-Lomax model, 2nd order Green-Gauss with Barth-Jespersen limiter, SLAU for Euler flux, 2nd order time accuracy by LU-SGS with inner iteration.







Total : 3.97 million cells **Minimum grid size:** 1.85 × 10⁻⁶ Cell Reynolds number=5.0

Grid for CNES

Total : 7.94 million cells **Minimum grid size:** 1.0×10^{-6}

Upper Stage: Comparison of CFD and Correlation Models^[4]

- Results by CFD and correlation models are in good agreement. Large local peak at nozzle rip can also quantitatively predicted.
- ▷ Larger heat flux by correlation models over tanks in wake flow.



Upper Stage: Comparison of CFD and Correlation Models^[4]

▷ Much smaller for correlation model than CFD result.



LS-DARC Demonstration for Rocket Upper Stage

\triangleright Real shape effect can be considered.

- Possibility of trim
- Increased aerodynamic heating area
- Rapture due to local heating spot
- (by heat flux model with considering local curvature effect)

Easy-to-Use, Just prepare 3D mesh for thermal analysis.

- Fully automated analysis can be realized.

▷LS-DARC can be applied to

- Natural decay prediction
- Conceptual design studies (Minimum dV for re-entry, etc...)

 \triangleright Model validation work is under the way.



Heat flux distribution



Conclusion and Future Works

- >Uncertainty quantification strategy was proposed.
- Benchmark study for basic shapes and rocket upper stage were carried out between JAXA and CNES.
 - Key flow mechanism such as significant larger heat flux at sharp edges, and the shock interaction and low dynamic pressure wake effect for multiple bodies were clarified.
 - Heat flux predicted by correlation models are in good agreement with CFD even for significant large heat flux peak at sharp corners.
- Further research should be done for aerodynamics and heat flux models Formulation for
 - 1) Concave shapes
 - 2) Wake effect (low dynamic pressure)
 - 3) Shock interactions
 - 4) Turbulent boundary layer effect
 - 5) Non-zero hidden leeward surfaces
 - Validation for
 - 1) Heat flux with small curvature effect
- Further research also for destruction modeling at high temperatures
- Validation and detailed analysis for upper stage are currently under the way.



High-fidelity Analysis

Wind-tunnel tests

References (1/4)

[1] Fujimoto, K., Tani, H., Negishi, H., Saito, Y., Iizuka, N., Okita, K., Kato, A., "Uncertainty Quantification for Destructive Re-Entry Risk Analysis: JAXA Perspective," Stardust Final Conference, Conference, Springer book, pp.283-300, 2018.

[2] Fujimoto, K., Tani, H., Negishi, H., Saito, Y., Iizuka, N., and Okita, K., "Update of aerodynamics and heat flux model for ORSAT-J", Proceedings of the 8th IAASS Conference, 2016.

[3] Fujimoto, K., Tani, H., Negishi, H., Saito, Y., Iizuka, N., and Okita, K., "High-Fidelity Numerical Simulations for Destructive Re-entry of Upper Stages", 7th European Conference on Space Debris, 2017.
[4] Fujimoto, K., Negishi, H., Saito, Spel, M., Prigent, G., "Benchmark of JAXA and CNES Re-entry Safety Analysis Tools for Accurate Heat-flux Prediction", Proceedings of the 9th IAASS Conference, 2017.

[5] 藤本 圭一郎, 根岸 秀世, 齊藤 靖博, 飯塚 宣行, 沖田 耕一, "ロケット上段のリエントリ安全評価に向けた空力・熱流束評価法の構築," E09-4, 第31回数値流体力学シンポジウム講演論文集, 2017.

[6] 藤本 圭一郎, 根岸 秀世, 飯塚 宣行, 齊藤 靖博,沖田 耕一, "宇宙開発での信頼性・安全性分野におけ る定量的リスク評価," PD-1-7, 安全工学シンポジウム2018, 2018.

[7] T. Lips, B. Fritsche, M. Homeister, G. Koppenwallner, H. Klinkrad and M. Toussaint, "Re-entry Risk Assessment for Launchers – Development of the New SCARAB 3.1L", Proceedings of the 2nd IAASS Conference, 2007.

[8] Annaloro, J. "Elaboration of New Spacecraft-orientated Tool: PAMPERO", 8th European Symposium on Aerothermodynamics for Space Vehicles, Lisbon, 2-6 March 2015.

[9] Tokunaga, A., Sotoguchi, A., Shimoyama, K., Fujimoto, K., "Stochastic re-entry trajectory analysis with uncertain initial conditions for safety assessment", AIAA Paper (to be presented), 2019 AIAA SciTech Forum, 2019.



References (2/4)

[10] G.Bird, "Molecular gas dynamics and the direct simulation of gas flows," Oxford Engineering Science Series, 42, 1994.

[11] Koura, K. and Matsumoto, H., "Variable soft sphere molecular model for inverse-power-law or Lennard-Jones potential," Phys. Fluids, A3 (1991), pp.2459-2465.

[12] Bird, G. A., "Monte-Carlo simulation in an engineering context, Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics," Prog. Astronaut. Aeronaut., 74, 239, 1981.

[13] Boyles, K.A., et al., "The Use of Virtual Sub-Cells In DSMC Analysis of Orbiter Aerodynamics at High Altitudes Upon Reentry", AIAA-2003-1030, 41st AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno, NV, Jan. 2003.

[14] G.A. Bird, "Chemical Reactions in DSMC," 27th International Symposium on Rarefied Gas Dynamics, Pacific Grove, CA, July 10-15, 2010.

[15] Larsen, P. S., and Borgnakke, C., "Statistical Collision Model for Simulating Polyatomic Gas with Restricted Energy Exchange" in Rarefied Gas Dynamics I, edited by M. Becker and M. Fiebig, DFVLR Press, Porz-Wahn, 1974, paper A7.

[16] Fujimoto, K., Fujii, K., and Wang, Z. J., "Improvements in the Reliability and Efficiency of Body-Fitted Cartesian Grid Method," AIAA Paper 2009-1173, 2009.

[17] Fujimoto, K., "Study on the Automated CFD Analysis Tools for Conceptual Design of Space Transportation Vehicles," Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of To-kyo, Tokyo, Japan, 2006.

[18] Kitamura, K., Nonaka, S., Kuzuu, K., Aono, J., Fujimoto, K., and Shima, E., "Numerical and Experimental Investigations of Epsilon Launch Vehicle Aerodynamics at Mach 1.5," Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, Vol.50, No.4, pp.896-916, 2013.

[19] Fujimoto, K., Nambu, T., Negishi, H., and Watanabe, Y., "Validation of LS-FLOW for Reentry Capsule Unsteady Aerodynamic Analysis", AIAA Paper 2017-1411, 55th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, AIAA SciTech Forum, 2017.

[20] Fujimoto, K., Negishi, H. and Nakamura, R., Nakakita, K., "Aero-Acoustics CFD Prediction for Re-entry Capsule Wake Flows at Subsonic to Supersonic Regine", AIAA Paper 2018-0520,2018AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting, 2018.

References (3/4)

[21] Kitamura, K., Fujimoto, K., Shima, E., Kuzuu, K., and Wang, Z. J., "Validation of Arbitrary Unstructured CFD Code for Aerodynamic Analyses," Trans-actions of the Japan Society for Aeronautical and Space Sciences, Vol.53, No.182, pp.311–319, 2011.

[22] Negishi, H., Aono, J., Shimizu, T., Sunakawa, H., Sezaki, C., Nagao, N., Nan-ri, H., "Mixing Characteristics of Transcritical Hydrogen Flows around a Mixer in a Liquid Rocket Engine," JSASS-2016-2117-A, 2016 (in Japanese).

[23] 高橋政浩, 野島清志, 清水太郎, 青野淳也, 宗像利彦, "LS-FLOWによる炭化水素燃料スクラムジェット 燃焼器流れの解析," 第31回数値流体力学シンポジウム講演論文集, E08-2, 2017.

[24] Baldwin, B. and Lomax, H., "Thin-layer approximation and algebraic model for separated turbulent flows," AIAA paper 1978-257, 1978.

[25] Spalart, Allmaras, "A One-Equation Turbulence Model for Aerodynamic Flows," AIAA 92-0439, 1992.
 [26] Spalart, Jou, Strelets, and Allmaras, "Comments of the Feasibility of LES for Wings, and on a Hybrid RANS/LES Approach," Advances in DNS/LES, 1st AFOSR Int. Conf. on DNS/LES, 1997.

[27] Shima, E., Kitamura, K., and Fujimoto, K., "New Gradient Calculation Method for MUSCL Type CFD Schemes in Arbitrary Polyhedra," AIAA Paper 2010-1081, 2010.

[28] Shima, E., Kitamura, K., Haga, T., "Green–Gauss / Weighted-Least-Squares Hybrid Gradient Reconstruction for Arbitrary Polyhedra Unstructured Grids," AIAA Journal, Vol.51, No.11, pp. 2740-2747, 2013.

[29] Mavriplis, D. J., "Revisiting the Least-Squares Procedure for Gradient Reconstruction on Unstructured Meshes," AIAA Paper 2003-3986, 2003.

[30] Venkatakrishnan, V., "Convergence to Steady State Solution of the Euler Equations on Unstructured Grids with Limiters," J. Compute. Phys. No.118, pp. 120-130, 1995.

[31] Wang, Z. J., "A Fast Nested Multi-Grid Viscous Flow Solver for Adaptive Cartesian/Quad Grids," International Journal of Numerical Methods in Fluids, Vol. 33, No. 5, pp. 657–680, 2000.

[32] Haga, T. and Kawai, S., "On a robust and accurate localized artificial diffusivity scheme for the highorder flux-reconstruction method.," J. Comput. Phys. vol. 376, pp. 534-563, 2019.

References (4/4)

[33] Shima, E. and Kitamura, K., "On New Simple Low-Dissipation Scheme of AUSM-Family for All Speeds," AIAA paper 2009-136, 2009.

[34] Jameson, A. and Turkel, E., "Implicit Schemes and LU Decompositions, Mathematics of Computation," No.37, pp. 385-397, 1981.

[35] Morii, Y., Terashima, H., Koshi, M., Shimizu, T., Shima, E., "ERENA: A fast and robust Jacobian-free integration method for ordinary differential equations of chemical kinetics," Journal of Computational Physics, Vol.322, pp. 547-558, 2016.

[36] Pierce, C. D. and Moin, P., "Progress-variable approach for large-eddy simulation of non-premixed turbulent combustion," Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol.504, pp.73-97, 2004.