

Third Aerodynamics Prediction Challenge (APC-III)

Task 1 NASA-CRM aerodynamic prediction at cruise state and high angle of attack

Authors : LEONARD, Benoit TEMMERMAN, Lionel BOTELLA CALATAYUD, Jose HIRSCH, Charles ISONO, Katsutomo HIGAKI, Shinya KOHI, Akihisa Confidential

Copyright © NUMECA International

n.v. NUMERICAL MECHANICS APPLICATIONS International s.a. Chaussée de la Hulpe, 189, Terhulpsesteenweg - 1170 Brussels, Belgium



Content

Third Aerodynamic Prediction Challenge (APC-III)

Original

<u>Task 1</u>

NASA-Common Research Model (CRM¹) aerodynamic prediction at cruise state and high angle of attack (presence of tail plane, wing deformation from measurement data).

- \rightarrow Mach = 0.847
- $\rightarrow \text{Re}_{\text{MAC}} = 2.26\text{E}+06$
- → AoA range = [-1.79, 5.72] deg. 1 mesh for each AoA (aeroelastic effect)
- \rightarrow Tail incidence angle is 0 deg.

Deliverables

- Aerodynamic coefficient (C_D, C_L, C_m)
 - \rightarrow contribution of pressure and friction
 - \rightarrow contribution by components (main wing, body, tail)
- Surface Cp distribution → main wing
- Experimental data³ for comparison
 - \rightarrow JAXA wind tunnel test data
 - \rightarrow Aerodynamic coefficient (C_D , C_L , C_m)
 - \rightarrow Surface C_p distribution
 - \rightarrow Deformation amount

Confidential







The present report includes results for task 1 obtained on the NASA-CRM using NUMECA FINETM/Open CFD solver:

- Finite volume discretization
- Cell centred, 2nd order central scheme
 Scalar or Matrix numerical dissipation
- Scalar or Matrix numerical dissipation
 Initial conditions: freestream values
- CPUBooster[™] convergence acceleration technique on fine grid

Grids, provided by JAXA, take into account wing deformation data due to lift. Two meshing approaches are considered:

- Structured hexahedral (referred to as 'upacs' within APC-III website)
 - 3 grid levels with Full-Multigrid
- Unstructured hybrid tet-dominant (referred as 'megg3d' within APC-III website)
- 4 grid levels with Full-Multigrid

Several turbulence models are tested:

- Linear Eddy Viscosity Turbulence Models
 - \circ Spalart-Allmaras One-Equation Model with f_{v3} Term^{4-5} (SA-fv3)
 - Menter SST Two-Equation Model from 2003⁴⁻⁶ (SST-2003)
 - K-Epsilon Two-Equation Model by Yang-Shih⁷ (KE-YS-1993)
- Non Linear Eddy Viscosity Turbulence Models
 - Explicit Algebraic Reynolds Stress Model proposed by Menter et al. (2009), which is based on the BSL k-ω model of Menter (1994) and allows the inclusion of anisotropic effects into the turbulence model⁸. (SBSL-EARSM)
 - Separation Sensitive Corrected Explicit Algebraic Reynolds Stress Model, developed and introduced by Numeca in 2016 from SBSL-EARSM with the aim of better predicting separated flows⁹. (SSC-EARSM)

Copyright © NUMECA International

Confidential



	Structured (upacs)	Unstructured (megg3d)
Cell count	9,145,023	29,976,421
Min. orthogonality [deg.]	9.64	3.02
Max. skewness	0.933	0.999
Max. adjacent volume ratio	7.20	293.21
Max. expansion ratio	6.89	223.27



4





Confidential



7



RESULTS UNSTRUCTURED(megg3d) MESHES

Turbulence models:

- SA-fv3
- SST-2003
- KE-YS-1993
- SBSL-EARSM
- SSC-EARSM



Wing Pressure Coefficient Cuts AoA = 0.32, 2.47, 3.55, 4.65 deg. Unstructured(megg3d) meshes









RESULTS STRUCTURED(upacs) MESHES

Turbulence models:

- SA-fv3
- SST-2003
- SSC-EARSM

Confidential

Copyright © NUMECA International





Wing Pressure Coefficient Cuts AoA = 0.32, 2.47, 3.55, 4.65 deg. Structured(upacs) meshes







Analysis of flow over wing suction side At high angle of attack AoA = 4.65 deg. Structured(upacs) vs. Unstructured(megg3d) meshes









SUMMARY

Third Aerodynamic Prediction Challenge (APC-III)

- We performed 12 sets of CFD simulations using NUMECA FINE/Open solver.
- For high angle of attack (AoA), CFD results show large deviation for any sections.
- SSC-EARSM (Separation Sensitive Corrected Explicit Algebraic Reynolds Stress Model) turbulence model relatively shows good agreement with experiment even for high AoA. Moreover it hardly shows dependence on the mesh element.
- SSC-EARSM turbulence model was developed through the ANADE project (Advances in Numerical and Analytical tools for Detached flow prediction) under grant contract PITN-GA-289428.
- SSC-EARSM model is based on the SBSL-EARSM model of Menter et al.(2012) and designed with the aim of better predicting separated flows.
- We have shown that SSC-EARSM is better choice as a turbulence model for wide range of AoA and both structured and unstructured mesh.



References

Confidential



1- 80% Scaled NASA Common Research Model Wind Tunnel Test of JAXA at Relatively Low Reynolds Number

Makoto Ueno, Takamasa Kohzai, Seigo Koga, Hiroyuki Kato, Kazuyuki Nakakita and Norikazu Sudanil. Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, Chofu, Tokyo, 182-8522, Japan

2- Summary of First Aerodynamics Prediction Challenge (APC-I)

 Atsushi Hashimoto, Takashi Aoyama, Yuichi Matsuo, Makoto Ueno, Kazuyuki Nakakita, Shigeru Hamamoto. Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), Chofu, Tokyo, 182-8522, Japan

3 - JAXA Research and Development Memorandum. Transonic Wind Tunnel Test of the NASA CRM (Volume 1).

• https://repository.exst.jaxa.jp/dspace/bitstream/a-is/16455/1/62304000.pdf

4- Langley Research Center, Turbulence Modeling Resource (NASA)

• https://turbmodels.larc.nasa.gov/

5- Spalart-Allmaras One-Equation Model with fv3 Term (SA-fv3)

- Ashford, G.A., and Powell, K.G., 1996, "An unstructured grid generation and adaptative solution technique for high-Reynolds number compressible flow", VKI (Von Karman Institute) Lecture Series 1996-06.
- Rumsey, C. L., Allison, D. O., Biedron, R. T., Buning, P.G., Gainer, T. G., Morrison, J. H., Rivers, S. M., Mysko, S. J., and Witkowski, D. P., "CFD Sensitivity Analysis of a Modern Civil Transport Near Buffet-Onset Conditions," NASA/TM-2001-211263, December 2001.

29

Copyright © NUMECA International



6- Menter SST Two-Equation Model from 2003 (SST-2003)

• Menter, F. R., Kuntz, M., and Langtry, R., "Ten Years of Industrial Experience with the SST Turbulence Model," Turbulence, Heat and Mass Transfer 4, ed: K. Hanjalic, Y. Nagano, and M. Tummers, Begell House, Inc., 2003, pp. 625 - 632.

7- K-Epsilon Two-Equation Model by Yang-Shih (KE-YS-1993)

• Yang, Z. and Shih, T.H., 1993, "A k-e model for turbulence and transitional boundary layer", Near-Wall Turbulent Flows, R.M.C. So., C.G. Speziale and B.E. Launder (Editors), Elsevier-Science Publishers B. V., pp. 165-175.

8- S-BSL-Explicit Algebraic Reynolds Stream Model (SBSL-EARSM)

 Menter, F.R., Garbaruk, A. V. & Egorov, Y., 2012. "Explicit algebraic reynolds stress models for anisotropic wall-bounded flows Physics, 3, pp.89–104.

9- Sensitive Corrected Explicit Algebraic Reynolds Stress Model (SSC-EARSM)

 Monté, S., Temmerman, L., Léonard, B., Tartinville, B., Hirsch, C. "A novel EARSM model for separated flows" 11th International ERCOFTAC Symposium on Engineering Turbulence Modelling and Measurements, Palermo, Italy, 21-23 September 2016.

Copyright © NUMECA International

Confidential



Appendix



Analysis of flow over wing suction side At high angle of attack AoA = 4.65 deg. Unstructured(megg3d) meshes



WING FLOW-Unstructured grid(megg3d)









Confidential



RESULTS – STRUCTURED(upacs)



Convergence history at AoA=2.47 deg. (matrix dissipation)

x/c



Analysis of flow over wing suction side At high angle of attack AoA = 4.65 deg. Structured(upacs) vs. Unstructured(megg3d) meshes



