

Simulating Gamma-Ray Telescopes in Space Radiation Environments with Geant4: Detector Activation

Andreas Zoglauer

University of California at Berkeley, Space Sciences Laboratory, Berkeley, USA

Georg Weidenspointner

*Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Garching, Germany
MPI Halbleiterlabor, München, Germany*


Steven F. Boggs

University of California at Berkeley, Space Sciences Laboratory, Berkeley, USA

Which telescopes do we want to simulate?

Gamma-ray Telescopes

Reproduce & improve background knowledge of past and current instruments:
→ optimize data analysis and sensitivity of those instruments



CGRO/COMPTEL: 0.7-30 MeV


But also: Suzaku, Swift, etc.



INTEGRAL/SPI: 0.02 - 8 MeV

Future Gamma-ray Telescopes

Estimate & optimize the performance of future soft-to-medium gamma-ray telescopes:



GRIPS ("Gamma-Ray Burst Investigations via Polarization and Spectroscopy"): 0.2 - 50 MeV

ACT ("Advanced Compton Telescope"): 0.2-10 MeV

But also: NeXT, NUSTAR, eROSITA, XEUS, GRI, etc.

The Space Radiation Environment

The diagram illustrates the space radiation environment. On the left, the Sun is shown emitting solar flares (photons, charged particles). These travel through space, with some being trapped in the Van Allen belts (SAA) and causing activation, leading to electrons. On the right, Earth is shown with secondary particles induced by cosmic ray interaction with the upper atmosphere, including Albedo photons, neutrons, electrons, and positrons. A central text box states: "For soft-to-medium energy gamma-ray telescopes, the energy range of the deposits of the background events and those of the good, 'astrophysical' events overlap!".

Primary particles:

- μ ons
- Neutrons
- Positrons

For some applications cosmic photons are also background

Secondary particles induced by cosmic ray interaction with upper atmosphere:

- Albedo photons, neutrons, electron, positrons

Radiation belts:

- Trapped protons (SAA) & resulting activation, electrons

Which background components do we need to simulate?

Simulation requirements I

- **Photon interactions (250 eV - 1 TeV)**
 - > Polarized Compton scattering (including subsequent Compton scatters) with Doppler broadening
 - > Polarized gamma conversion (at least down to a few MeV) including conversion on electrons
 - > Rayleigh scattering (taking care of polarization)
 - > Photo effect
 - > Photo nuclear reaction (e.g. Giant Dipole Resonance)
 - > Atomic de-excitation
- **Electron interactions (250 eV - 1 TeV)**
 - > Energy loss via ionization (must work for thin media!)
 - > Molière scattering (must work for thin media!)
 - > Bremsstrahlung
 - > Delta rays
 - > Møller scattering
- **Positron interactions (250 eV - 1 TeV)**
 - > see electrons
 - > Bahaba scattering instead of Møller scattering

What are the requirements for the simulation engine?

Simulation requirements II

- **Proton interactions (up to 1 TeV)**
 - Ionization and scattering
 - Bremsstrahlung
 - Spallation
 - Capture
 - Nuclear de-excitation
 - **Alpha particles interactions**
 - See protons (including capture!!)
 - **Ion interactions (up to Fe)**
 - See alpha
- Important for activation:*
- Interaction cross sections for ALL isotopes
 - Correct generation of radioactive isotopes
 - Handle all channels of de-excitations and decays

Simulation requirements III

- **Neutron interactions (thermal - 1 TeV)**
 - Elastic scattering
 - Inelastic scattering
 - Neutron capture
 - Etc.
- Important for activation:*
- Interaction cross-sections for all isotopes
 - Generation of correct radioactive isotopes in the correct amount
 - Handle all channels of de-excitations and decays

Simulation requirements IV


- **For generated radioactive isotopes:**
 - Handle radioactive decay including all possible decay channels & branching ratios
 - Correctly handle de-excitation, meta-stable states, etc.
 - Distinguish between PROMPT (within detectors coincidence window) and DELAYED de-excitation and radioactive decay
 - Determine build-up of radioactive elements over mission life

Many elements already included in Geant4

Missing:

Pipeline for simulating activation

Why is activation so important?



G. Weidenspinner, 2008


Many lines of high astrophysical interest, such as 511 keV (positron annihilation) or 1809 keV (^{26}Al - tracer for star creation regions) are also produced by radioactive decays induced by activation!

MGGPOD





- **What is MGGPOD?**
 - Monte-Carlo suite consisting of the Fortran tools MGEANT (Geant3), GCALOR, PROMPT, ORIHET & DECAV
 - Designed for background simulation of gamma-ray telescopes
 - Main reference: Weidenspointner et al. 2005
- **Advantages:**
 - Verified & working!
- **Disadvantages:**
 - Base libraries (Geant3, GCalor) no longer supported
 - Unstable and undebuggable (ZEBRA data structures) ...
 - Not all required physics processes, cross sections, etc. included

History: Simulations with MGGPOD

MGGPOD verification



Good agreement between measurement and simulation for TGRS, Integral and RHESI



Step 3: Simulate decays

Initial information:


- Activation per nucleus and volume

Simulation:

- Randomly choose one of the nuclei according to their activation
- Randomly position the start nucleus within its volume (take care it's not in a daughter volume)
- Let it decay and de-excite
 - Take care of meta-stable states and immediately following decays
 - Handling of meta-stable states as in step 1
 - If the next decay of the initially generated nucleus happens within the time window then keep it (but ignore the next started from the list of the same isotope and volume type), otherwise kill the track, since this event is already in event list.

Simulation setup


Simulation of 200 MeV protons irradiating isotropically a Germanium sphere with radius 2 cm:



Identical simulation have been performed with Cosima & MGGPOD!

Some preliminary results

Step 1: Generated Nuclei




Differences:

- Average deviation: Factor 2.2
- Geant4 produces more nuclei with low neutron numbers compared to MGGPOD for e.g. Ge & Zn
- MGGPOD produces more Cu


Step 2: Activation determination

For both Cosima and MGGPOD the Cosima input rates were used:



Reasonable agreement, detailed differences are still under investigation


Step 3: Decay spectra



Input isotope lists are identical!!


Big picture: Rather similar

Step 3: Decay spectra - details



Differences:
 > Line ratios
 > Background slope

Step 3: $^{67}\text{Ga} \rightarrow ^{67}\text{Zn}$ (EC)



^{67}Zn (394 keV \rightarrow 93 keV) + L-shell- γ + K-shell- γ

Ratios:
 TGRS (measured): ~ 1:8 MGGPOD simulated: ~ 1:7
 Geant4 data files: ~ 1:9 Geant4 simulated: ~ 6:5

Summary & Future

Summary:

- Activation pipeline implemented in Cosima
- However, non-negligible differences between MGGPOD & Cosima have been found

Future tasks & validations:

- Identify and – if necessary - resolve differences between Geant4 & MGGPOD
- Compare different proton & neutron energies as well background spectra
- If successful, simulate existing satellites: TGRS, Integral, COMPTEL

If comparisons are successful, a new release of Cosima with integrated activation will be made publicly accessible at:

<http://www.mpe.mpg.de/MEGA/mega.lib.html>